

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,929	02/27/2004	Adrian Oana	GP-303891	9548
7590 07/11/2006 LAURA C. HARGITT General Motors Corporation			EXAMINER	
			MCCREARY, LEONARD	
			A DOT LO LIET	DA DED MUADED
Mail Code 482-C23-B21, Legal Staff			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 300			3616	
Detroit, MI 48265-3000			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Ī		аррисаціон но.				
•	Office Action Summany	10/788,929	OANA, ADRIAN			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Leonard J. McCreary, Jr.	3616			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 February 2004</u> .					
′—	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.					
-	Claim(s) <u>4 and 7</u> is/are objected to.					
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
٥/١	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🗵 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/27/04</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/788,929

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 3 and 5-6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,318,925 to Bowers et al. in view of US 5,855,182 to Kline et al. Bowers discloses a vehicle component escutcheon comprising the following:
 - a. A shifter face plate assembly for a shifter rod and a shifter rod support in a floor console having a console opening comprising: a top plate 52 through which the shifter rod projects and having a window (Fig. 1A); and an intermediary plate 10 supporting the top plate (claim 3.)
 - b. An anti-rattle tab 24 biasingly extending between the top plate and the intermediary plate to resist relative movement between the plates (col 5, lines 50-54) (claim 5.)

Bowers does not teach the top plate being shiftable. Kline teaches a floor shifter and console assembly comprising the following:

a. A top plate 62 being shiftable longitudinally with respect to the intermediary plate to position the top plate aesthetically within the console opening (col 1, lines 37-43) (claim 3.)

Art Unit: 3616

b. Shiftable longitudinally includes an adjustment slot 80 on one of said top plate and said intermediary plate and a guide tab 78 on the other of said top plate and said intermediary plate (col 3, lines 12-15) (claim 6.)

Re claim 3, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the escutcheon of Bowers to include a top plate that is longitudinally and laterally shiftable as taught by Kline so as to eliminate the need to adjust the plate after the plate is installed (col 1, lines 34-44.) Re claim 6, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the escutcheon of Bowers to include an intermediary plate having an adjustment slot and a top plate having a guide tab as taught by Kline so as to maintain the plate assembly in the proper vertical orientation (col 3, lines 12-15.)

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,929 Page 4

Art Unit: 3616

1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-2 stand rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,843,521 to Oana. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 2 of '521 recites the all of the limitations recited in claims 1 and 2 of the present application.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claim 8 is allowed.
- 4. Claims 4 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,929

Art Unit: 3616

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonard J. McCreary, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-8766. The examiner can normally be reached on 0700-1700 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leonard J. McCreary, Jr.

me Cuf.

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 3616

PAUL N. DICKSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600